IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO. 682 OF 2000

Ramesh Chand ..Petitioner

Versus

Union of India and Ors. ... Respondents.

Mr. Shyam Mehta, instructed by Mr. Satendra Kumar, for the petitioner.
Ms. Akhila Kaushik, instructed by Dr. T.K. Kaushik, for respondents.

CORAM: **DALVEER BHANDARI, C.J.** &
S.J. VAZIFDAR, J.

DATE: **JULY 07, 2005**.

P.C.

During the pendency of this petition, the petitioner, through his advocate, has made a representation to the Chief of the Personnel, Naval Headquarters, Sena Bhavan, New Delhi. This representation was sent in pursuance of an order passed by the Director (Pensions), Government of India, Ministry of Defence, Department of Defence, New Delhi, dated 14th August, 2001, which indicates that certain category of cases can be considered for the grant of pension even after orders of dismissals have been passed. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner's representation dated 5th August, 2004, has not yet been decided. In the facts and circumstances of this case, we

direct the Chief of the Personnel, Naval Headquarters, Sena Bhavan, New Delhi, to decide the representation of the petitioner by a reasoned order within eight weeks from the date of receipt of this order.

2. The learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that in case the representation of the petitioner is allowed and pension is granted, then the petitioner would not pursue this petition for any further reliefs. We are disposing of the petition while reserving the liberty to the petitioner to revive the petition, in case his claim for pension is rejected by the Chief of the Personnel, Naval Headquarters.

3. No further directions are necessary. This petition is accordingly disposed of.

CHIEF JUSTICE

S.J. VAZIFDAR, J.